

## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jefferson Charter | 6010391 |
| Academy |  |


| Schoolsite Council <br> (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 4 / 2023$ | $5 / 24 / 2023$ |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

```
Schoolwide Program
```

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

The goals, actions, and services in this plan meet ESSA requirements for a schoolwide plan, and are aligned with the goals, actions, and services detailed in the district's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The school plan shall be based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that takes into account information on the academic achievement of children in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards and any other factors as determined by the LEA.
(20 U.S.C. Section 6314[b][6])
Our School's Process:
School planning is an ongoing process. Each year, our school's leadership team with technical assistance from district leadership and input from site councils (SSC) and English learner advisory
committees (ELAC), review our school's progress toward achieving the established goals.
Analysis of Data:
The comprehensive needs assessment shall include an analysis of verifiable data, consistent with all state priorities and informed by all indicators. (EC Section 64001[g][2][A]; 34 CFR Section 200.26[a])

Our school's process begins with a thoughtful analysis of student achievement data including data from:

- The California School Dashboard
- District formative and summative assessments
- School level assessments
- The HESD Parent Survey
- The HESD LCAP Student Survey
- Input from the district's curriculum committee (a representative body comprised of a majority of teachers)
- Teacher surveys to gather input on professional development needs.

Each school year, after data from the California School Dashboard is published by the California Department of Education (CDE), our school's leadership team meets with district leaders to analyze achievement and school climate data and to set proposed targets, called Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes, for "All Students" and for each student subgroup on both academic and school climate indicators.

Data from the Annual HESD Parent Survey and Student Survey are reviewed by our school site leadership team and proposed Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are set for metrics relating to parent and student satisfaction with the instructional program, the school's services, programs, extra-curricular activities, and school safety.

These proposed Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are taken to our SSC and ELAC for input and, if requested, additional revisions. These Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are part of this school plan and can be found immediately after each goal in the pages that follow.

The results of this analysis are summarized in a statement of "Identified Need" that follows each goal in the school plan.

## School Site Councils and English Learner Advisory Committees

Our school holds four school site council meetings each school year. The first meeting is held in September. The purpose of the first meeting is to establish the school site council, elect members and officers for the year, and to train council members on their roles and responsibilities.
The second meeting is held in February after the publication of the California School Dashboard by the California Department of Education (CDE). At the second meeting, student achievement is reviewed, including the achievement of English learners. The school's professional development needs and activities are reviewed. The implementation of the school plan is monitored, the needs assessment is reviewed, and any changes in the plan's goals, action strategies, or expenditures are discussed and approved.
The third meeting is held in March. At the third meeting, student achievement is once again reviewed, but at this meeting, the focus is on school climate and parent involvement. Once again implementation of the school plan is monitored and any changes to the plan's goals, action strategies, or expenditures are discussed and approved.
The fourth meeting is held in May. At this meeting, the Annual Update/Evidence Based Evaluation of
the school plan is reviewed and revised based on recommendations from the committees. Using the Annual Update/Evidence Based Evaluation, the individual Actions/Strategies in the plan that were well-implemented and effective, and that should continue in the next school year, are discussed. Areas of need along with any recommended changes to the Actions/Strategies are also discussed. Major differences between intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures are reviewed. The school site leadership and school site council propose changes to the goals, the annual outcomes, metrics, strategies/activities, and/or expenditures that will be made to next year's plan. Finally, the school plan for the next school year is approved by the SSC and the plan is recommended to the governing board for approval

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Documentation:

The documents listed below, most of which are embedded in the pages of this school plan, shall comprise our school's Comprehensive Needs Assessment, our process, and document the results of the needs assessment.

- Student Performance Data: English Learners
- Student Performance Data: CAASPP (English Language Arts)
- Student Performance Data: CAASPP (Mathematics)
- Student Performance Data: ELPAC (Summative Assessment)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Student Population)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Overview)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (English Language Arts)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Mathematics)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (English-Learner Progress)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Chronic Absenteeism)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Suspension Rate)
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 1
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 2
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 3
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 4
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 1
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 2
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 3
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 4
- Minutes From School Site Council/English Learner Advisory Committee Meetings
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 1
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 2
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 3
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 4
- Evidence-based Title I Funded Program Evaluation (A review of each action under each goal in the plan)


# Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components 

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

## Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

## Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

## Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight $[\mathrm{K}-8]$ ) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

## Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-8) (EPC)

Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

## Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Funding
Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Fiscal support (EPC)

## Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

School Site Council (SSC)
The school maintains a school site council. The SSC is comprised of parents, teachers, and school staff. Parents make up $50 \%$ of the SSC with parents of EL students representing at least the same percentage on the committee as the percentage of EL students enrolled at the school. The school holds four school site council meetings each year in October, December, February, and May. During these meetings student achievement is reviewed, the school's/students' needs are assessed, and based on this analysis the school plan is developed. The school plan is approved by the school site council each year in May (for the upcoming school year), and again the following February. The Hanford Elementary School District Board of Trustees approves the school plans after the school site council has given their approval.

English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)
The school maintains an ELAC. The ELAC advises the School Site Council on programs and services for English learnings and on the School Plan for Student Achievement.

District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC)
The district maintains a District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). The DELAC is comprised The DELAC meets four times per school-year in November, January, March, and May.

The DELAC advises the board on conducting a district-wide needs assessment on a school by school basis; advises the board on the district's plan for educational programs and services for English learners that takes into consideration the Single Plan for Student Achievement; advises the governing board on the district's (and school site) annual needs assessment.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| American Indian | \% | \% | 0\% |  |  | 0 |
| African American | 1.8\% | 1.60\% | 1.23\% | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| Asian | 0.6\% | 0.60\% | 0.21\% | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Filipino | \% | \% | 0\% |  |  | 0 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 80.0\% | 81.84\% | 82.72\% | 408 | 410 | 402 |
| Pacific Islander | \% | \% | 0\% |  |  | 0 |
| White | 14.9\% | 13.97\% | 12.96\% | 76 | 70 | 63 |
| Multiple/No Response | 2.8\% | 2.00\% | 2.88\% | 14 | 10 | 14 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 510 | 501 | 486 |

## Student Enrollment

Enrollment By Grade Level

| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| Kindergarten | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Grade 1 | 50 | 47 | 48 |
| Grade 2 | 49 | 45 | 48 |
| Grade3 | 49 | 47 | 48 |
| Grade 4 | 62 | 55 | 58 |
| Grade 5 | 63 | 63 | 61 |
| Grade 6 | 61 | 71 | 62 |
| Grade 7 | 66 | 57 | 60 |
| Grade 8 | 62 | 68 | 53 |
| Total Enrollment | 510 | 501 | 486 |

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| English Learners | 128 | 130 | 109 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4} \%$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 98 | 96 | 99 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4 \%}$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 11 |  |  | $8.6 \%$ |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 39 | 47 |  | 39 | 47 |  | 39 | 47 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 4 | 48 | 55 |  | 48 | 55 |  | 48 | 55 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 5 | 61 | 60 |  | 60 | 60 |  | 60 | 60 |  | 98.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 6 | 66 | 70 |  | 60 | 69 |  | 59 | 69 |  | 90.9 | 98.6 |  |
| Grade 7 | 54 | 64 |  | 44 | 63 |  | 40 | 63 |  | 81.5 | 98.4 |  |
| Grade 8 | 60 | 67 |  | 55 | 66 |  | 55 | 66 |  | 91.7 | 98.5 |  |
| All Grades | 328 | 363 |  | 306 | 360 |  | 301 | 360 |  | 93.3 | 99.2 |  |

The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 2413. | 2439. |  | 17.95 | 34.04 |  | 15.38 | 23.40 |  | 33.33 | 23.40 |  | 33.33 | 19.15 |  |
| Grade 4 | 2490. | 2506. |  | 33.33 | 32.73 |  | 33.33 | 38.18 |  | 10.42 | 23.64 |  | 22.92 | 5.45 |  |
| Grade 5 | 2523. | 2552. |  | 30.00 | 36.67 |  | 35.00 | 38.33 |  | 20.00 | 16.67 |  | 15.00 | 8.33 |  |
| Grade 6 | 2551. | 2569. |  | 32.20 | 33.33 |  | 25.42 | 31.88 |  | 27.12 | 21.74 |  | 15.25 | 13.04 |  |
| Grade 7 | 2566. | 2574. |  | 12.50 | 25.40 |  | 47.50 | 38.10 |  | 20.00 | 15.87 |  | 20.00 | 20.63 |  |
| Grade 8 | 2644. | 2599. |  | 49.09 | 15.15 |  | 36.36 | 50.00 |  | 10.91 | 27.27 |  | 3.64 | 7.58 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 30.56 | 29.17 |  | 32.23 | 37.22 |  | 19.93 | 21.39 |  | 17.28 | 12.22 |  |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 12.82 | 31.91 |  | 58.97 | 55.32 |  | 28.21 | 12.77 |  |
| Grade 4 | 14.58 | 23.64 |  | 77.08 | 70.91 |  | 8.33 | 5.45 |  |
| Grade 5 | 18.33 | 31.67 |  | 73.33 | 61.67 |  | 8.33 | 6.67 |  |
| Grade 6 | 19.64 | 36.23 |  | 66.07 | 52.17 |  | 14.29 | 11.59 |  |
| Grade 7 | 27.78 | 25.40 |  | 63.89 | 57.14 |  | 8.33 | 17.46 |  |
| Grade 8 | 40.74 | 24.24 |  | 50.00 | 66.67 |  | 9.26 | 9.09 |  |
| All Grades | 22.53 | 28.89 |  | 65.19 | 60.56 |  | 12.29 | 10.56 |  |


| Wroducing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 12.82 | 17.02 |  | 53.85 | 55.32 |  | 33.33 | 27.66 |  |  |
| Grade 4 | 16.67 | 14.55 |  | 60.42 | 70.91 |  | 22.92 | 14.55 |  |  |
| Grade 5 | 25.00 | 25.00 |  | 53.33 | 63.33 |  | 21.67 | 11.67 |  |  |
| Grade 6 | 28.81 | 28.99 |  | 49.15 | 56.52 |  | 22.03 | 14.49 |  |  |
| Grade 7 | 25.00 | 42.86 |  | 66.67 | 38.10 |  | 8.33 | 19.05 |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 47.27 | 22.73 |  | 49.09 | 68.18 |  | 3.64 | 9.09 |  |  |
| All Grades | 26.94 | 25.83 |  | 54.55 | 58.61 |  | 18.52 | 15.56 |  |  |


| Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 10.26 | 19.15 |  | 76.92 | 76.60 |  | 12.82 | 4.26 |  |  |
| Grade 4 | 6.25 | 14.55 |  | 89.58 | 78.18 |  | 4.17 | 7.27 |  |  |
| Grade 5 | 18.33 | 20.00 |  | 71.67 | 75.00 |  | 10.00 | 5.00 |  |  |
| Grade 6 | 22.81 | 20.29 |  | 70.18 | 73.91 |  | 7.02 | 5.80 |  |  |
| Grade 7 | 5.26 | 14.29 |  | 92.11 | 77.78 |  | 2.63 | 7.94 |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 25.93 | 15.15 |  | 74.07 | 78.79 |  | 0.00 | 6.06 |  |  |
| All Grades | 15.88 | 17.22 |  | 78.04 | 76.67 |  | 6.08 | 6.11 |  |  |


| Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 15.38 | 19.15 |  | 66.67 | 63.83 |  | 17.95 | 17.02 |  |
| Grade 4 | 37.50 | 25.45 |  | 54.17 | 69.09 |  | 8.33 | 5.45 |  |
| Grade 5 | 23.33 | 41.67 |  | 61.67 | 53.33 |  | 15.00 | 5.00 |  |
| Grade 6 | 37.29 | 33.33 |  | 55.93 | 55.07 |  | 6.78 | 11.59 |  |
| Grade 7 | 30.00 | 25.40 |  | 62.50 | 60.32 |  | 7.50 | 14.29 |  |
| Grade 8 | 52.73 | 24.24 |  | 45.45 | 68.18 |  | 1.82 | 7.58 |  |
| All Grades | 33.55 | 28.61 |  | 57.14 | 61.39 |  | 9.30 | 10.00 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 39 | 47 |  | 39 | 47 |  | 39 | 47 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 4 | 48 | 55 |  | 47 | 55 |  | 47 | 55 |  | 97.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 5 | 61 | 60 |  | 60 | 60 |  | 60 | 60 |  | 98.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Grade 6 | 66 | 70 |  | 62 | 69 |  | 61 | 69 |  | 93.9 | 98.6 |  |
| Grade 7 | 54 | 64 |  | 43 | 63 |  | 42 | 63 |  | 79.6 | 98.4 |  |
| Grade 8 | 60 | 67 |  | 54 | 66 |  | 54 | 66 |  | 90.0 | 98.5 |  |
| All Grades | 328 | 363 |  | 305 | 360 |  | 303 | 360 |  | 93.0 | 99.2 |  |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 2425. | 2433. |  | 7.69 | 14.89 |  | 30.77 | 34.04 |  | 41.03 | 29.79 |  | 20.51 | 21.28 |  |
| Grade 4 | 2496. | 2505. |  | 27.66 | 29.09 |  | 31.91 | 38.18 |  | 29.79 | 21.82 |  | 10.64 | 10.91 |  |
| Grade 5 | 2507. | 2516. |  | 16.67 | 23.33 |  | 26.67 | 21.67 |  | 30.00 | 33.33 |  | 26.67 | 21.67 |  |
| Grade 6 | 2518. | 2557. |  | 11.48 | 28.99 |  | 24.59 | 28.99 |  | 34.43 | 27.54 |  | 29.51 | 14.49 |  |
| Grade 7 | 2566. | 2540. |  | 11.90 | 23.81 |  | 42.86 | 17.46 |  | 30.95 | 26.98 |  | 14.29 | 31.75 |  |
| Grade 8 | 2663. | 2571. |  | 51.85 | 16.67 |  | 27.78 | 28.79 |  | 12.96 | 33.33 |  | 7.41 | 21.21 |  |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 21.78 | 23.06 |  | 30.03 | 27.78 |  | 29.37 | 28.89 |  | 18.81 | 20.28 |  |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 15.38 | 17.02 |  | 66.67 | 61.70 |  | 17.95 | 21.28 |  |
| Grade 4 | 38.30 | 32.73 |  | 40.43 | 52.73 |  | 21.28 | 14.55 |  |
| Grade 5 | 16.67 | 23.33 |  | 55.00 | 46.67 |  | 28.33 | 30.00 |  |
| Grade 6 | 11.48 | 27.54 |  | 57.38 | 55.07 |  | 31.15 | 17.39 |  |
| Grade 7 | 23.81 | 19.05 |  | 66.67 | 47.62 |  | 9.52 | 33.33 |  |
| Grade 8 | 44.44 | 25.76 |  | 50.00 | 51.52 |  | 5.56 | 22.73 |  |
| All Grades | 24.75 | 24.44 |  | 55.45 | 52.22 |  | 19.80 | 23.33 |  |


| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 17.95 | 21.28 |  | 53.85 | 53.19 |  | 28.21 | 25.53 |  |
| Grade 4 | 21.28 | 30.91 |  | 65.96 | 58.18 |  | 12.77 | 10.91 |  |
| Grade 5 | 20.00 | 25.00 |  | 61.67 | 51.67 |  | 18.33 | 23.33 |  |
| Grade 6 | 14.75 | 21.74 |  | 52.46 | 63.77 |  | 32.79 | 14.49 |  |
| Grade 7 | 11.90 | 30.16 |  | 66.67 | 44.44 |  | 21.43 | 25.40 |  |
| Grade 8 | 51.85 | 19.70 |  | 42.59 | 66.67 |  | 5.56 | 13.64 |  |
| All Grades | 23.43 | 24.72 |  | 56.77 | 56.67 |  | 19.80 | 18.61 |  |


| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 3 | 15.38 | 25.53 |  | 79.49 | 57.45 |  | 5.13 | 17.02 |  |
| Grade 4 | 31.91 | 30.91 |  | 55.32 | 61.82 |  | 12.77 | 7.27 |  |
| Grade 5 | 11.67 | 25.00 |  | 73.33 | 63.33 |  | 15.00 | 11.67 |  |
| Grade 6 | 13.11 | 27.54 |  | 68.85 | 62.32 |  | 18.03 | 10.14 |  |
| Grade 7 | 14.29 | 20.63 |  | 64.29 | 61.90 |  | 21.43 | 17.46 |  |
| Grade 8 | 40.74 | 10.61 |  | 55.56 | 68.18 |  | 3.70 | 21.21 |  |
| All Grades | 21.12 | 23.06 |  | 66.01 | 62.78 |  | 12.87 | 14.17 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Overall |  |  | Oral Language |  |  | Written Language |  |  | Number of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 1448.8 |  | * | 1459.4 |  | * | 1424.1 |  | 9 | 14 |  |
| 1 | 1436.1 | 1471.7 |  | 1475.6 | 1482.9 |  | 1396.0 | 1460.1 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 1489.7 | 1468.9 |  | 1514.1 | 1493.8 |  | 1464.8 | 1443.6 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 1515.7 | 1521.4 |  | 1529.3 | 1534.3 |  | 1501.7 | 1508.1 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 1544.0 | 1541.8 |  | 1541.4 | 1561.2 |  | 1546.3 | 1522.0 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 1518.4 | 1547.2 |  | 1510.8 | 1562.0 |  | 1525.5 | 1531.9 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 1538.9 | 1529.9 |  | 1542.4 | 1544.1 |  | 1534.9 | 1515.0 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | 6 |  |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 | 126 |  |

## Overall Language

Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 21.43 |  | * | 57.14 |  | * | 14.29 |  | * | 7.14 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 8.33 | 13.33 |  | 25.00 | 53.33 |  | 25.00 | 26.67 |  | 41.67 | 6.67 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 7.69 | 0.00 |  | 69.23 | 57.14 |  | 15.38 | 35.71 |  | 7.69 | 7.14 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 20.00 | 41.18 |  | 66.67 | 29.41 |  | 13.33 | 29.41 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 47.06 | 38.89 |  | 23.53 | 50.00 |  | 29.41 | 5.56 |  | 0.00 | 5.56 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 7.69 | 45.00 |  | 53.85 | 35.00 |  | 30.77 | 15.00 |  | 7.69 | 5.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 23.08 | 14.29 |  | 46.15 | 64.29 |  | 23.08 | 7.14 |  | 7.69 | 14.29 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 20.59 | 26.19 |  | 47.06 | 47.62 |  | 20.59 | 19.84 |  | 11.76 | 6.35 |  | 102 | 126 |  |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 35.71 |  | * | 50.00 |  | * | 7.14 |  | * | 7.14 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 33.33 | 40.00 |  | 33.33 | 40.00 |  | 33.33 | 20.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 38.46 | 35.71 |  | 61.54 | 42.86 |  | 0.00 | 21.43 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 40.00 | 58.82 |  | 60.00 | 41.18 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 58.82 | 88.89 |  | 29.41 | 5.56 |  | 11.76 | 5.56 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 38.46 | 65.00 |  | 46.15 | 30.00 |  | 7.69 | 0.00 |  | 7.69 | 5.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 53.85 | 50.00 |  | 38.46 | 35.71 |  | 7.69 | 7.14 |  | 0.00 | 7.14 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 44.12 | 55.56 |  | 42.16 | 34.13 |  | 8.82 | 7.14 |  | 4.90 | 3.17 |  | 102 | 126 |  |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 7.14 |  | * | 50.00 |  | * | 42.86 |  | * | 0.00 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 6.67 |  | 25.00 | 46.67 |  | 8.33 | 26.67 |  | 66.67 | 20.00 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 69.23 | 21.43 |  | 7.69 | 35.71 |  | 23.08 | 42.86 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 0.00 | 11.76 |  | 33.33 | 41.18 |  | 60.00 | 35.29 |  | 6.67 | 11.76 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 29.41 | 5.56 |  | 35.29 | 44.44 |  | 23.53 | 38.89 |  | 11.76 | 11.11 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 7.69 | 5.00 |  | 7.69 | 30.00 |  | 76.92 | 55.00 |  | 7.69 | 10.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 7.69 | 0.00 |  | 30.77 | 14.29 |  | 38.46 | 57.14 |  | 23.08 | 28.57 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 8.82 | 5.56 |  | 34.31 | 34.92 |  | 35.29 | 42.86 |  | 21.57 | 16.67 |  | 102 | 126 |  |


| Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 50.00 |  | * | 50.00 |  | * | 0.00 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 33.33 | 53.33 |  | 66.67 | 46.67 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 53.85 | 21.43 |  | 46.15 | 78.57 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 40.00 | 52.94 |  | 60.00 | 41.18 |  | 0.00 | 5.88 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 52.94 | 77.78 |  | 41.18 | 16.67 |  | 5.88 | 5.56 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 23.08 | 45.00 |  | 61.54 | 50.00 |  | 15.38 | 5.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 7.69 | 14.29 |  | 84.62 | 71.43 |  | 7.69 | 14.29 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 31.37 | 42.06 |  | 60.78 | 50.79 |  | 7.84 | 7.14 |  | 102 | 126 |  |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | $*$ | 28.57 |  | $*$ | 57.14 |  | $*$ | 14.29 |  | $*$ | 14 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 33.33 | 20.00 |  | 66.67 | 73.33 |  | 0.00 | 6.67 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 38.46 | 50.00 |  | 61.54 | 50.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 80.00 | 88.24 |  | 20.00 | 11.76 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 70.59 | 83.33 |  | 29.41 | 16.67 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 76.92 | 90.00 |  | 15.38 | 5.00 |  | 7.69 | 5.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 76.92 | 71.43 |  | 23.08 | 28.57 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 61.76 | 66.67 |  | 32.35 | 29.37 |  | 5.88 | 3.97 |  | 102 | 126 |  |


| Reading DomainPercentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 7.14 |  | * | 92.86 |  | * | 0.00 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 16.67 | 46.67 |  | 8.33 | 33.33 |  | 75.00 | 20.00 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 30.77 | 7.14 |  | 46.15 | 71.43 |  | 23.08 | 21.43 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 6.67 | 5.88 |  | 66.67 | 64.71 |  | 26.67 | 29.41 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 29.41 | 5.56 |  | 58.82 | 77.78 |  | 11.76 | 16.67 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 15.38 | 10.00 |  | 69.23 | 65.00 |  | 15.38 | 25.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 15.38 | 0.00 |  | 30.77 | 42.86 |  | 53.85 | 57.14 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 20.59 | 11.11 |  | 49.02 | 61.90 |  | 30.39 | 26.98 |  | 102 | 126 |  |


| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| K | * | 28.57 |  | * | 64.29 |  | * | 7.14 |  | * | 14 |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 50.00 | 86.67 |  | 50.00 | 13.33 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 76.92 | 28.57 |  | 23.08 | 71.43 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 6.67 | 11.76 |  | 86.67 | 76.47 |  | 6.67 | 11.76 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 23.53 | 16.67 |  | 64.71 | 72.22 |  | 11.76 | 11.11 |  | 17 | 18 |  |
| 5 | 0.00 | 25.00 |  | 92.31 | 70.00 |  | 7.69 | 5.00 |  | 13 | 20 |  |
| 6 | 15.38 | 0.00 |  | 84.62 | 92.86 |  | 0.00 | 7.14 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 7 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| 8 | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 8.82 | 13.49 |  | 74.51 | 70.63 |  | 16.67 | 15.87 |  | 102 | 126 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

For the past two years, many state and federal accountability requirements were waived or adjusted due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on LEAs, schools, and students. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, the requirements to hold schools and districts accountable for student outcomes has returned with the release of the 2022 California School Dashboard (Dashboard). The Every Student Succeeds Act is requiring all states to determine schools eligible for support. Similarly, under state law, Assembly Bill (AB) 130, which was signed into law in 2021, mandates the return of the Dashboard using only current year performance data to determine LEAs for support. Therefore, to meet this state requirement, only the 2021-22 school year data will be reported on the 2022 Dashboard for state indicators. (Data for Change [or the difference from prior year] and performance colors will not be reported.)

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2021-22 Student Population

| Total <br> Enrollment |
| :---: |
| 501 |

Total Number of Students enrolled in Jefferson Charter Academy


Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.


Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

Foster Youth

Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2021-22 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 130 | 25.9 |
| Foster Youth |  |  |
| Homeless | 1 | 0.2 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 248 | 49.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 23 | 4.6 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |  |


| African American | 8 | 1.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

American Indian
Asian $\quad 3 \quad 0.6$

Filipino

| Hispanic | 410 | 81.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Two or More Races | 10 | 2.0 |

Pacific Islander

| White | 70 | 14.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


Lowest Performance



High


Very High
Highest Performance

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students



Conditions \& Climate
Suspension Rate


Low

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


This section provides number of student groups in each level.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Very Low | Low | Medium | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

Foster Youth

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged



This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| 25.4 points below standard |
| 81 Students |
|  |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| 61.1 points above standard |
| 41 Students |
|  |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 54.3 points above standard |
| 176 Students |
|  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2022 Fall Dashboard Mathamtics Equity Report

| Very Low | Low | Medium | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group



Foster Youth

## Students with Disabilities

No Performance Level
65.0 points below standard 18 Students


This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

## 2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| 60.7 points below standard |
| 81 Students |
|  |
|  |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| 30.0 points above standard |
| 41 Students |
|  |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 12.6 points above standard |
| 176 Students |
|  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

This section provides information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

## 2022 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level | Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H | Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

## 1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Very High | High | Medium | Low | Very Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group


## 2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Level |  | No Performance Level |  |
| Less than 11 Students |  | Less than 11 Students |  |
| 10 Students |  | 3 Students |  |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Very High | No Performance Level |  | High |
| 21.7\% Chronically Absent | 30.8\% Chronically Absent |  | 12.9\% Chronically Absent |
| 423 Students | 13 Students |  | 70 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).


This section provides number of student groups in each level. 2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

| Very High | High | Medium | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group



## Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals \#1-4

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will make progress toward proficiency on the state adopted standards and English learners will make progress learning the English language.

## Goal 1

All students will make progress toward proficiency on the state adopted standards and English learners will make progress learning the English language.

## Identified Need

CAASPP scores from the 21-22 school year in ELA and math indicate that students did make slight gains from the previous year for the 20-21 school year. Even though there was an increase in test scores from 20-21 school year, the scores in ELA and math show a substantial decline from preCovid CAASPP scores (the 2019 California School Dashboard.)

English Learners, African American students, and students with disabilities showed the most serious declines in both ELA and math from pre-Covid scores.

There is a need to provide students with a strong cored educational program along with significant supplemental/after-hours intervention and instruction.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| 2022 California Assessment of |
| Student Performance and |
| Progress Results: ELA |
| (Internal Data) All Students |
| 2022 California Assessment of |
| Student Performance and |
| Progress Results: ELA |
| (Internal Data) African |
| American |
| 2022 California Assessment of |
| Student Performance and |
| Progress Results: ELA |
| (Internal Data) Hispanic |
| 2022 California Assessment of |
| Student Performance and |
| Progress Results: ELA |
| (Internal Data) White |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
65.42\% Proficient
62.50\% Proficient
62.50\% Proficient
86.00\% Proficient

## Expected Outcome

For 2022-2023: 66.0\% Proficient

For 2022-2023: 63.0\% Proficient

For 2022-2023: 63.0\% Proficient

For 2022-2023: 87.0\% Proficient

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA (Internal Data) English Learners | 34.09\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 35.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA (Internal Data) Migrant Students | 51.61\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 52.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA (Internal Data) Economically Disadvantaged | 56.04\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 57.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA (Internal Data) Students with Disabilities | 23.08\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 25.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) All Students | 49.06\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 55.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) African American | 25.00\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 50.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) Hispanic | 46.38\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 50.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) White | 66.00\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 70.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) English Learner | 25.00\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 27.0\% Proficient |
| 2022 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math (Internal Data) Migrant Students | 45.16\% Proficient | For 2022-2023: 46.0\% Proficient |

Metric/Indicator
2022 California Assessment of
Student Performance and
Progress Results: Math
(Internal Data) Economically
Disadvantaged
2022 California Assessment of
Student Performance and
Progress Results: Math
(Internal Data) Students with
Disabilities

Baseline/Actual Outcome
36.71\% Proficient
15.38\% Proficient

Expected Outcome

For 2022-2023: 45.0\% Proficient

For 2022-2023: 23.0\% Proficient

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Implement standards-aligned ELA, Math and Science instruction using the district adopted instructional materials and supplemental resources each day in Spanish or English.

Fully implement standards based ELA/mathematics instruction utilizing the district core instructional program and supplemental materials in English or Spanish.

Teachers will supplement the core curriculum with reading workshop, writing workshop, read aloud, shared reading in all classrooms. Teachers will supplement with books in various genres in English and Spanish, dictionaries, ebooks, technology, online subscriptions and resources, etc.
ELA, Math and Science lesson plans are aligned to the district/school pacing calendars and based on CCCSS/NGSS. During weekly teacher collaboration days, teachers will analyze data from district and site assessments. Teachers will use standards based lessons that are aligned to the district/school pacing calendars and CCCSS/NGSS.
Meet with students in small groups who are struggling with ELA/math concepts and students who need to be challenged with the curriculum.
Teachers and site leadership will monitor and discuss:

- Lesson plans and delivery of instruction
- Teacher, District and State assessment results
- Monitor at risk students
- Monitor effectiveness of resources and materials

Library Media Technician promotes regular use of literacy to enrich the school experience and encourages regular participation in library activities to develop students' literacy skills.

Physical Education
Students will receive instruction in physical education for a total period of time of not less than 200 minutes each 10 schooldays, exclusive of recesses and the lunch period. The Physical Education Model Content Standards will follow a sequential, developmentally appropriate curriculum designed
and implemented to help students acquire the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and confidence needed to adopt and maintain a physically active, healthy lifestyle.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

## 1,500

1,074

Source(s)
School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies
LMT Supplies/Materials other than books
LCFF - Supplemental
4000-4999: Books And Supplies PE Supplies
School Wide Program (SWP)
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Supplemental Instructional Supplies
School Wide Program (SWP) 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Printing District Print Shop

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide differentiated small group language arts/math instruction based on identified student needs. Meet with teachers during status of the class meetings to discuss student needs/at-risk students.

All students (intensive, strategic, and benchmark) will participate in explicit reading instruction in Spanish and / or English and will progress in reading level. Teachers will monitor progress of all students, including English Learner and Migrant students.

Teachers will continue to challenge all students with a rigorous academic program (ELA, Math and Science) where differentiation is at the core of all learning. Teachers will incorporate instructional strategies (direct instruction, cooperative groups, hands-on activities, and interdisciplinary teaching) that promote inquiry, high levels of discussions, self-directed learning, debate, and other modes of learning in order to develop their abilities to the highest level. Teachers will encourage students to explore topics of interest, conduct research, and focus on the big ideas of the content areas to obtain a greater understanding of generalizations, principles, and theories.

All students will receive small group instruction using guided reading and transitional guided reading a minimum of two days per week in Spanish or English. All students, including English learner and migrant, identified as "intensive or strategic" will receive small group instruction a
minimum of three days per week.
Teachers and site leadership will monitor and discuss during status of the class:

- Interventions for struggling and high achieving students
- Progress of EL students
- Small group instruction
- Collaboration focused on student data and best practices
- Monthly formative reading assessments
- Lesson plans for targeted groups in intervention
- Independent Reading Level Reports


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,394

Source(s)
School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Guided Reading and Classroom Books

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide materials and resources to help teachers plan units of study and lessons around identified themes in science/Spanish language arts and help students make connections across disciplines and master grade level standards.

Teachers plan units of study focused on an overarching question that is relevant to students, has multiple paths to a solution, and can be applied to various disciplines across the grade level curriculum. The staff will need, but not limited to:

- materials and resources to plan units of study in Science and building Spanish foundational skills (supplemental materials, hands-on projects, etc.)
- study trips, video conferencing, assemblies, and instructional consultants
- online resources (ex. Raz-Kids, TWIG, STEMscopes, Science A-Z, Generation Genius, Newsela, Discovery Streaming, Istation, etc.)
- professional development (ex. STEAM Conferences, dual immersion conferences/workshops, instructional consultants, etc.)
- library and classroom books

Continue to upgrade technology as needed in classrooms including additional smartboards, document cameras, ipads, laptops, and related equipment for teacher instructional use and ipads for student use.

Expose all students to a variety of learning tools and increase access to online resources that supports standards-based instruction and differentiating. Online resources may include, but not limited to:

- Raz-Kids, TWIG, STEMscopes, Science A-Z, Generation Genius, Newsela, Discovery Streaming, Istation, etc.
- ebooks

Provide a variety of study trips, assemblies, and video conferences in order to build background knowledge, increase vocabulary, language and or extend learning for students in Spanish language arts and science learning of study.

All students will attend an academic field trip that is aligned to the adopted standards and is developmentally appropriate for the students' ages.

Teachers and site leadership monitor, discuss, and ensure alignment of state standards (CCSS, NGSS) with video conferencing, assemblies, study trips, and online resources.

Supplemental digital content through centralized services includes:

- IVS Computer Technology (SMART Notebook)
- Lexia Learning
- Kahn Academy
- Flocabulary
- Discover Education
- Learning A-Z
- Seesaw Learning
- Adobe Creative Cloud for Students (SHI)
- Zoom
- BrainPop
- School City (lluminate)


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
5,000

5,081.83

Source(s)
School Wide Program (SWP)
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating
Expenditures
Digital Subscriptions
School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Supplemental Instructional Supplies
Title I Centralized Service

```
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And
Operating Expenditures
Digital Content (Subscriptions) and software for
students
```


## Strategy/Activity 4

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## At-risk/Special Education Students

Strategy/Activity
Provide program strategies, materials, and interventions that address learning gaps in ELA/Spanish language arts. (ex. Estrellita, Corrective Reading, Reading Mastery, etc.)

Students are identified through the following means (but not limited to):

- School site/district assessments
- State assessments
- Teacher recommendations
- Status of the class meetings
- SST, IEP, and 504 process

Student progress will be monitored on a monthly basis using teachers assessments and progress monitoring tool (Dibbles).

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 5

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Jefferson will offer afterschool enrichment and junior high elective classes that have a central focus on second language acquisition, culture, and science/engineering.

Afterschool enrichment and junior high elective classes will focus on a variety of activities which may include, but not limited to, robotics, technology, coding, engineering, visual arts, performing arts (folklorico), mariachi, chess club, broadcasting, journalism, yearbook, Science Olympiad, Jr. Legos, sign language, agriculture and livestock, etc.

Instruction will be provided by teachers and support staff. Members of the community with expert knowledge will also contribute to the enrichment classes.

These enrichment classes provide students will additional activities that will expand and enrich the education received during the core instructional day.

Performing arts electives may include culturally rich activities that support the goals of the program such as folklorico dancing or mariachi music groups, etc.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Expected Outcomes vs. Actual Outcomes in CAASPP Data. The scores below are the Actual Outcomes from the 2022 CAASPP testing. In comparing these scores to the Expected Outcomes established in the 2021-2022 school year for the 2022 CAASPP results, a "Met" determines the Actual Outcome results from the 2022 CAASPP met or exceeded the expected outcome projection, while a "Not Met" determines the Actual Outcome did not meet the expected outcome projection.

ELA: All Students- $65.42 \%$ (Not Met)
ELA: African Americans- 62.50\% (Met)
ELA: Hispanics-62.50\% (Met)
ELA: White- 86\% (Met)
ELA: English Learners- 34.09 \% (Met)
ELA: Migrant Students-51.61\% (Met)
ELA: Socioeconomically Disadvantaged-56.04\% (Met)
ELA: Students with Disabilities- 23.08\% (Not Met)
Math: All Students- 49.06\% (Not Met)
Math: African Americans- 25\% (Not Met)
Math: Hispanics- 46.38\% (Not Met)
Math: White- 66\% (Not Met)
Math: English Learners- 25\% (Met)
Math: Migrant Students- 45.16\% (Met)
Math: Socioeconomically Disadvantaged- 36.71\% (Not Met)
Math: Students with Disabilities-15.38\% (Not Met)

## Implementation

The actions and services under Goal 1 were well implemented overall, with students making progress toward proficiency on the state-adopted standards and with students who are English learners making progress learning English. Standards-based ELA and mathematics instruction as defined in by the Common Core Standards incorporating state-adopted text and HESD pacing calendar is in place in all classrooms. School site leadership, with support from the district office curriculum and instruction department, monitors schools, classrooms, and student progress. Students requiring intervention are identified and provided with supplemental instruction/intervention including after-hours instruction. Integrated ELD (Core Program ELD/EL Support) instruction and EL support in academic content areas were implemented across the school at all grade levels. English learners received language instruction supporting access to the state-adopted content standards in ELA, mathematics, science, and history/social science. Designated ELD (Core Program) Students received 30 minutes of ELD instruction at their English language development level during the ELD instructional block. Students received ELD instruction at their English language development level during the ELD instructional block. Teachers documented the progress of EL students using the district's EL rubric forms.

## Effectiveness

The actions under Goal 1 continue to be effective in students making progress toward proficiency on the state-adopted standards and English learners continue to make progress learning the English language.
Although data from the 2020-2021 CAASPP indicate students experienced significant learning loss due to the impacts of the COVID pandemic and the associated school closures, extended periods of distance learning, student absences, and staffing shortages, the 2021-2022 CAASPP scores showed a closing of the learning loss gap and in some cases, surpassed the pre-pandemic CAASPP scores. Based on anecdotal evidence, an increase in CAASPP scores over the previous year, and data from local assessments in 22-23, showing students making gains in achievement, the scores do not indicate a need to make significant changes to the instructional program; rather, they indicate a need to continue to build upon an strengthen the school's existing instructional programs.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: 2021 SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Although the strategies/activities in the plan were implemented, new funding, both federal and state, was used to support some of the activities in this school plan. Struggling students received interventions through the Extended Learning Opportunities Plan (ELOP) and/or through the ESSER Learning Loss. The continuing pandemic and resulting student absences and staffing shortages disrupted some of the school's programs and operations. Teacher over-contract for interventions was limited resulting in not all funds being spent. Adequate supplies and materials were available using less than the budgeted amount.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The school will continue to provide standards-aligned instruction to all students, interventions and supports for struggling students, and designated and integrated ELD for English learners. The school will continue to provide students with an extensive suite of digital/online supplemental instructional materials.

After-school support and activities including enrichment, tutoring, and ELD instruction will continue to be funded through the district-wide Expanded Learning Opportunities Program and will no longer be included in the school plan. All students will have the opportunity to participate in these programs.

Student CAASPP scores increased from 20-21 to 21-22, including most subgroups. The strategies and activities under Goal 1 will continue into 23-24.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

The district will support teachers and staff with professional development, training, and collaboration time.

## Goal 2

The school will support teachers and staff with professional development, training, and collaboration time.

## Identified Need

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic is placing a strain on the district's/school's professional development programs. Students have experienced significant learning loss compared to 2019 due to missed school. Students are also experiencing increased social emotional needs due to the effects of the pandemic.

There is a significant need to provide teachers with professional development to build upon the analysis of student achievement data by studying the work of individual students, especially their written work, and diagnosing specific areas of strength and areas of need. There is a need to develop instructional planning based on this analysis.

There is a need to provide students who are English learners with language support in all academic areas (called Integrated ELD) and to provide these students with specific English language development instruction (instruction in learning the English language (called Designated ELD). In order to provide effective Integrated ELD and Designated ELD, there is a need to provide classroom teachers with leadership, training, and support in these areas.

Due to the COVID pandemic, there is a need to provide teachers with support, including professional development, in supporting students' increased social emotional needs.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| District Led Professional | All Teachers Receive <br> Professional Development | All Teachers Receive <br> Professional Development |  |
| School Site Led Professional <br> Development | The School Provides <br> Opportunities for Professional <br> Development | The School Provides <br> Opportunities for Professional <br> Development |  |
| Teacher Collaboration | Teachers Attend Collaboration <br> Each Wednesday | Teachers Attend Collaboration <br> Each Wednesday |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Teachers and administrators will participate in district professional development and site based systematic professional development.

Teachers will receive professional development that is specific to the needs of the school site (NGSS, Math, ELA, and Spanish language arts). This is determined by site leadership observations and input from teachers at the school site.

Teachers are provided with collaboration time on student early release days. Every Wednesday, teachers analyze data to identify at-risk students, plan lessons, and have discussions about effective teaching strategies and resources/materials.

Teachers analyze student achievement data from district and site based assessments and use this information to plan instruction and intervention, to identify students for intervention, and to group students for instruction and intervention.

Data will be disaggregated to show overall performance as well as performances of significant subgroups (including ELs).

Results may include grouping, planning, observing a colleague, and/or coaching support based on identified needs.

As needed (based on data) coaching will include focus on EL strategies.
All the professional development will be driven by the needs and interest of the students, staff, and school. Professional development will consist of workshops, conferences, and instructional consultants focused on topics, but not limited to:

- Understanding and implementation of Common Core State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards and Spanish language arts/foundational skills.
- Implementation of effective teaching strategies and techniques: hands-on activities, cooperative learning, direct instruction, and interdisciplinary teaching, etc. (planning and delivering units of study in science across disciplines/Spanish language arts)
- Integration of technology into content areas and the use of online tools and resources to develop and teach lessons.
- Classroom management and discipline
- Differentiated Instruction for low and high achieving students
- English Language Development and English Language Learners
- Spanish language development and Spanish language arts
- Strategies for scaffolding


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
18,000

Source(s)
School Wide Program (SWP)
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating
Expenditures
Consultants for PD and/or Online/Zoom/Virtual
PD (e.g. Tulare Co. Office of Ed.)

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

A professional development team consisting of a curriculum specialist in English language arts, and five instructional coaches provide high quality, research-based professional development to teachers and administrators. Instructional coaches specialize in reading, writing, mathematics/science, the integration of technology into the instructional program, and the development digital literacy skills to improve academic achievement
Decisions are made regarding the types of professional development that will be delivered based on analysis of data including student achievement data, site leadership, and teacher input. These include, but are not limited to whole-group professional development, in-class coaching, coaching during weekly collaboration, lesson development and planning, backward-mapping, and analysis of formative and summative student achievement data. The professional development team provides training and professional development specifically designed to improve the academic achievement of English Learners

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
82,800.00

1,666.67

## Source(s)

Title I Centralized Service 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries School Site Portion of Seven Instructional Coaches
Title I Centralized Service 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
School Site Portion for Mileage Seven Instructional Coaches

## Strategy/Activity 3 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
The district will operate a CTC accredited new teacher induction program with two full-time, fullrelease induction coaches. New teachers will receive induction support for two years and will clear their credentials through the induction program. Beginning with the 2018-2019 school year, the district will implement a Clear Administrative Services Credential Induction Program (CASC) for new administrators.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
24,653.42

## Source(s)

Title I Centralized Service
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
School Site Portion of Two Induction Coaches

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

## IMPLEMENTATION

The COVID-19 pandemic and resulting student absences and staffing shortages disrupted many of the school's programs and operations. Despite these disruptions and challenges, the actions under Goal \#2 were well implemented.

Teachers attended three district-led, full-day professional development sessions providing teachers with training in research-based instructional strategies for ELA, Math, and ELD. Teachers are provided with a "minimum day" each Wednesday to collaborate around student assessment data and to plan instruction and intervention.

Due to the COVID pandemic, the severe shortage of substitute teachers, and the urgent need to support classrooms where teaching staff tested positive and were quarantined or symptomatic with COVID, the district's team of instructional coaches provided emergency temporary (substitute) classroom instruction for most of the school year. The use of instructional coaches to provide this emergency classroom support prevented classrooms and schools from being forced to close in 2021-2022. The instructional coaches were temporarily funded with ESSER III because they were not performing their normal (Title I allowable) duties. They will resume their duties as instructional coaches and will return to being funded with Title I once the pandemic subsides.

A professional development team consisting of a curriculum specialist in English language arts, a curriculum specialist in mathematics, and five instructional coaches provide high-quality, researchbased professional development to teachers and administrators. Instructional coaches specialize in reading, writing, mathematics/science, the integration of technology into the instructional program, and the development of digital literacy skills to improve academic achievement. These coaches provide whole-group professional development, in-class coaching, coaching during weekly collaboration, lesson development and planning, backward mapping, and analysis of formative and summative student achievement data. The professional development team provides training and professional development specifically designed to improve the academic achievement of various subgroups including English Learners.

New teachers were served with a CTC-accredited new teacher induction program with a director and two full-time, full-release induction coaches. New teachers received induction support for two years and clear their credentials through the induction program.

## EFFECTIVENESS

Teacher surveys indicate that the professional development and collaboration time were effective, and despite challenges from the pandemic, they were supported by this training, professional development, and collaboration.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
The district's and school's professional development program mostly implemented, however, outside professional development opportunities have been limited due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Instructional coaches were back to providing professional development to the teaching staff and induction coaches were back to providing mentorship to teachers in the induction program.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
There is a continuing need to provide professional development around mitigating students' learning loss, while at the same time, providing grade-level standards aligned instruction. The is a need to continue to use the districts instructional coaches while also providing professional development opportunities from outside the district, such as from Tulare or Kings County offices of Education.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Students will learn in a safe, well maintained school where they are supported, engaged, and connected to their school.

## Goal 3

Students will learn in a safe, well maintained school where they are supported, engaged, and connected to their school.

## Identified Need

## Suspension

Although the school's suspension rate has decreased consistently over the last decade, in the past couple of years, with the COVID pandemic, there has been an uptick in the number of students who are suspended. Suspension rates the past year were at or near pre-COVID rates even though there was an uptick in behavioral and social concerns extending from the prolonged pandemic and prior distance learning. There is a need to continue to reduce the number of students who are suspended by supporting all students with incentives and rewards for good behavior, by identifying students with behavior challenges, and by providing students with challenges with increasing levels of support.

## Chronic Absenteeism:

Although the rate of chronically absent students has been historically low, the COVID pandemic and the various strands of COVID-19 have had and continue to have a significant negative impact on student attendance. Department of Public Health required quarantines along with significant numbers of students testing positive and/or being symptomatic with COVID, which have caused significant rises in absenteeism. There is a need to continue providing students and their families with social and emotional support to keep them engaged in school and to further reduce the number of students who are chronically absent.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome |
| :--- | :--- |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: <br> California Dashboard: All <br> Students | $0.6 \%$ Suspension Rate |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: <br> California Dashboard: African <br> American | N/A Suspension Rate |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: <br> California Dashboard: Hispanic | $0.7 \%$ Suspension Rate |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: <br> California Dashboard: White | $0.0 \%$ Suspension Rate |


| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: California Dashboard: English Learners | 0.7\% Suspension Rate | For 2022-2023: : 1.6\% Suspension Rate |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: <br> California Dashboard: <br> Economically Disadvantaged | 1.1\% Suspension Rate | For 2022-2023: : 2.0\% Suspension Rate |
| Suspension Rate 2021-2022: California Dashboard: Students with Disabilities | 0.0\% Suspension Rate | For 2022-2023: 0.0\% Suspension Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: All Students | 20.8\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 20\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: African American | N/A Chronic Absenteeism Rate |  |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: Hispanic | 21.7\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 21\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: White | 12.9\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 12\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: English Learners | 18.6\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 18\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: Economically Disadvantaged | 23.3\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 23\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Chronic Absenteeism 20212022: California Dashboard: Students with Disabilities | 27.6\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate | For 2022-2023: 27\% Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
| Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed. |  |  |
| Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) |  |  |
| All Students |  |  |
| Strategy/Activity |  |  |
| Provide all students with activities to promote good attendance, strong character and good behavior. |  |  |

Monitor classroom attendance and reward classrooms for reaching milestones throughout each trimester.
Utilize a variety of rewards to reward positive behavior (caught being good tickets, drawings). Award students for citizenship, good attendance, and academics each trimester and at the end of the year awards assembly. (K-8/promotion)
Reward students for monthly science project/problem of the month to promote student interest and attendance.
Teachers and site leadership monitor and discuss monthly attendance and behavior reports.
Students will have the opportunity to participate in structured physical education, enrichment activities that support the California content standards. The enrichment program will include opportunity for physical activity beyond our normal daily instructional minutes.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) 9,657

1,000

Source(s)
LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies School Climate Activity Supplies/Rewards/Incentives/Food/Enrichment Activities
LCFF - Supplemental 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Food for Student Incentives (District Kitchen)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

## IMPLEMENTATION

The COVID-19 pandemic and resulting student absences and staffing shortages disrupted many of the school's programs and operations this past year. Despite these disruptions and challenges, the actions under Goal \#3 were well implemented. All students received support and participated in activities to promote citizenship and good behavior. High-needs students received additional support. Learning directors monitored the social-emotional and health needs of students and coordinated the support that students received from counselors, social workers and nursing staff.

## EFFECTIVENESS

Because of the COVID pandemic, there has been an increase in chronically absent students and an increase in behavioral and social concerns that have increased the suspension rate. The Department of Public Health required quarantines along with significant numbers of students testing positive and/or being symptomatic with COVID, which have caused significant rises in absenteeism.

Anecdotal observations along with internal data for the current school year show that students have experienced a decline in social-emotional well-being due to the COVID pandemic. Although support from school staff has mitigated this decline, there is a great need to continue providing support and interventions.

The COVID pandemic has had a profound effect on student attendance. This past year there were significant numbers of students testing positive, quarantined, or symptomatic with COVID who missed many days of school. The nature of the pandemic and pandemic-related safety concerns required that the district suspend or alter programs and activities that promoted school attendance.

The data measuring school climate, especially suspensions and absenteeism, are significantly impacted by the COVID pandemic and do not accurately reflect the impact that the school's programs and services have had on school climate. The school's programs and services for students under Goal \#3, leading up to the pandemic, have led to a significant and steady decline in suspensions and chronic absenteeism. This indicates a need to continue, and where possible, expand the services and programs that support students' social-emotional well-being and physical health.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: 2021 SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
The continuing pandemic and resulting student absences and staffing shortages disrupted some of the school's programs and operations which resulted in not all funds being spent. There are no material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
All students will continue to receive support and will participate in activities to promote citizenship and good behavior. High-needs students well receive additional support. Learning directors will continue to monitor the social-emotional and health needs of students and coordinate the support that students' will receive from counselors, social workers, and nursing staff.

There will be a great need to continue to re-establish a culture where students and their families view attending school every day as very important after the last few years of dealing with the pandemic.
Activities to support and promote school attendance will resume and expand.

There will be a need to continue and build upon services and programs for students to support social emotional wellbeing and to promote good school attendance and behavior.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful.

## Goal 4

Communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful.

## Identified Need

In order for parents/guardians to actively participate in their children's education, there is a need for home-to-school communication and parent/guardian involvement. Parents need information and training to help their children achieve in school.

The HESD Parent Survey indicates the majority of parents agree or strongly agree with the statements:

- "The Parent/Teacher Conference was helpful to me in understanding my child's progress towards meeting ELA and math standards."
- "When I have questions about my child's classwork, I can ask for clarification and assistance from my child's school"

There is a need to continue providing parents with information on their child's progress and provide assistance when they have questions about their student's work. Students whose parents are informed about their children's progress in school achieve at higher levels.

Parents have a right to be involved in the decision-making process at the school and district levels. (California Education Code 52062-52063). There is a continuing need to involve parents in the decision-making process through the School Site Council (SSC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), and Parent Advisory Committee (PAC).

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Attendance at parent <br> conferences. |
| Percentage of parents who |
| Agree/Strongly Agree with the |
| statement: I receive |
| information about my child's |
| progress in the classroom |
| (such as yellow cards, notes, |
| phone calls, progress reports, |


| Baseline/Actual Outcome |
| :--- |
| 22-23 Parent Conference |
| Attendance: 100\% |
| 22-23 HESD Parent Survey-- I |
| receive information about my |
| child's progress in the |
| classroom (such as yellow |
| cards, notes, phone calls, |
| progress reports, etc.) on the |
| Annual HESD Parent Survey |
| Agree/Strongly Agree: $96 \%$ |

## Expected Outcome

> 22-23 Parent conference attendance rate will be at least $95 \%$.

22-23 HESD Parent Survey: I receive information about my child's progress in the classroom (such as yellow cards, notes, phone calls, progress reports, etc.) on the Annual HESD Parent Survey

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| etc.) on the Annual HESD Parent Survey |  | Agree/Strongly Agree will be at least 90\%. |
| Percentage of parents who Agree/Strongly Agree with the statement: There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school on the Annual HESD Parent Survey | 22-23 HESD Parent Survey-There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school. Agree/Strongly Agree: 96\% | 22-23 HESD Parent Survey <br> There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school. Agree/Strongly Agree will be at least 90\%. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Implement activities to increase involvement, communication, and support for school committees and activities.

Host parent involvement activities and committees that include, but not limited to:
Parent workshops- understanding common core standards, Next Generation Science standards, Literacy and Math night, Technology, Ways of supporting children at home with Spanish, etc.

Science Fair
Back to School Night
Progress Reports
Book Fair
Parent Conferences
Cultural Celebrations
Awards Assemblies
Winter Program
Parent Volunteers
Study Trips
PTC, SSC, ELAC, School Orientation
Student Recruitment and School Visits
Regularly send out information on flyers, Remind, school website, Newsletter in English and Spanish of events and activities.

Site leadership monitors annually parent sign in sheets.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
1,000

150

## Source(s)

School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Supplies Materials for Parent Inv.
School Wide Program (SWP)
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
Over contract for Parent Involvement Activities
LCFF - Supplemental
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs
Food For Parent Involvement

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students
Strategy/Activity
Teacher and parents will have regularly two-way communication regarding child's academic progress.

Teachers and parents will maintain regular two-way communication regarding child's academic progress through:

- progress reports
- telephone calls
- parent conferences/meetings
- report cards
- email
- online tools (Parent Vue, Zoom, Remind, etc.)
- Website


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2,270.83

Source(s)
Title I Centralized Service
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures
Software for Parent Communication

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

## IMPLEMENTATION

The COVID-19 pandemic and resulting student absences and staffing shortages have disrupted some of the school's programs and operations during the school year. Despite these disruptions and challenges, the actions under Goal \#4 were well implemented. The school held a variety of parent activities to educate and support parents. The school employs a suite of resources to facilitate and support communication with parents.

The school's electronic system for communicating with families was upgraded in 21-22. School leaders along with individual teachers made extensive use of online communication tools to communicate with families.

School Site Council meetings, District Parent Advisory Committee, and District English Learner Advisory Committees resumed back to pre-COVID meetings in person.

## Effectiveness:

The school's parent-conference attendance rate was $100 \%$ with parent/teacher conferences returning to in-person this year. The strategies and activities were effective in achieving the goal, that communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful. Data from the HESD Parent Survey indicate that parents are overwhelmingly satisfied with the level of support, outreach, and communication they receive from the school.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
With the continuing pandemic easing, most strategies/activities under Goal \#4 were well implemented, however there was some of the planned school programs and operations were disrupted, which resulted in not all funds being spent. There are no material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
The school will continue to provide support, outreach, and education for parents. The school will continue to give parents a voice in the programs and activities that are developed and implemented. In-person parent involvement/education activities will continue to be expanded upon.

The school will continue to implement the upgraded communication system (Parent Square). The SSC, PAC and DELAC will continue meeting in-person.

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds
List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If
the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

School Wide Program (SWP)
Title I Centralized Service

## Allocation (\$)

\$35,894.00
\$116,472.75

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$152,366.75
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

LCFF - Supplemental
None Specified

## Allocation (\$)

\$11,881.00
$\$ 0.00$

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: $\$ 11,881.00$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$164,247.75

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Javier Espindola | Principal |
| Oscar Tafolla (2024) | Other School Staff |
| Blanca Alvarado-Cabrera (2024) | Classroom Teacher |
| Veronica Reynoso (2024) | Classroom Teacher |
| Anjali Fry (2024) | Classroom Teacher |
| Elizabeth Martinez (2023) | Parent or Community Member |
| Joy Muwaswes (2023) | Parent or Community Member |
| Gina Jundt (2023) | Parent or Community Member |
| Roman Gonzales (2023) | Parent or Community Member |
| Regina Boerkamp (2023) | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## ELAC Membership

| Name of Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Javier Espindola | X |  |  |  |  |
| Oscar Tafolla |  |  | X |  |  |
| Trini Perez |  | X |  |  |  |
| Carin Ray |  | X |  |  |  |
| Alejandra Bravo Valdez |  |  |  | X |  |
| Maria Canchola |  |  |  | X |  |
| Leidi Castorena |  |  |  | X |  |
| Numbers of members of each category: |  |  |  |  |  |

There is no specific requirement for the size of ELAC. The members must represent at least the same percentage of English learners at the site. ELAC can delegate its roles and responsibilities to School Site Council only after the ELAC committee has been voted on and trained in the roles and responsibilities. If a favorable vote is taken, then the SSC must then be trained in their new roles and responsibilities representing ELAC. SSC must then agree to accept the duties of the ELAC. The SSC must have parents of EL students on the council. This process must be documented.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name
English Learner Advisory Committee
The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on $5 / 12 / 2023$.
Attested:


Principal, Javier Espindola (signed on hard copy) on 5/12/2023

SSC Chairperson, Anjali Fry on 5/12/2023

